P 7-14-95

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891-92.

192 .

(L &)

File No. 140-9

Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

In Part B for January 1898

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Lakkappa son of Hanumanthappa Havalli Village Bangalore Taluk praying for the payment of Certain Amount in redemption of the Mortgage of Certain Inam Lands of Durga Atte-mila Sha—

Countermanded

WD 2283—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Indexed

38

and to submit here-
-with the vernacular
Proceedings connected
with the dispute of the
Inam lands of Nabi
Shah Makan referred
to by the Petitioner.

Sd/ Siddanath

for Deputy Commissioner

Mrs

Proceedings of the Govt. of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

(57)

Read the following papers:-

1. Records in O. S. No. 3 of 1856 in the Court of the Lower Munsiff of Bangalore

2. Statement of Settlement by the Inam Dept of the lands in the Ameepura village, alienated from the Dasa of Alavullasha

3. Bangalore Amildar's notice dated 1st May 1889 stating that the Inam lands in question had been taken up by Govt and prohibiting petitioner from entering upon the same.

4. Amildar's endorsement No. 56 dated 17th July 1889 stating that petitioner's claims would be considered on the occasion of selecting a life in room of the one resumed.

5. Petition No. $\frac{1816}{A.S.\ 144}$ of 1891-92 from Lakkappa of Mavalli, Bangalore

Taluk

37 & 38

Taluk, praying that he may be allowed to select another land in lieu of the one resumed or that the mortgage amount due to him on the security of the land resumed, may be paid to him with two years profits.

~~~~~

Order thereon:- No. 894-5 / P.F. 14-93 & 17/7/93. 18/7/93.

On a perusal of the papers referred to above the Govt. observe that petitioner's mortgage rights in the Makkan lands in question commenced prior to 31st January 1858 and not subsequent to 14th Decm. ber 1867 and that through a mistake, due probably to the absence of a careful perusal of all the records connected with O.S. No. 3 of 1866 in the Bangalore Sudder Munsiff's Court, the alienation to petitioner was treated under clause B, Rule II of the notification of the Chief Commissioner, dated 17th November 1877 instead of under clause A of

the
~~~~~

the same. The lands in question, forming parts of Survey Nos 7 and 15 in the Village of Annigeri, have now been taken up by Govt. Under these circumstances it seems equitable to grant to Petitioner in lieu of the lands mortgaged by him but which have been resumed by Govt. such other lands as may be selected by the Revenue Authorities subject to a ~~fine~~ Levy of one half the assessment under clause A of Rule II of Chief Commissioner's notification dated 17th November 1877.

2. The Dy. Commissioner will forward to the Asst Superintendent a statement of lands selected for confirmation on ~~Form~~ Map as above stated.

Chief Secretary

Redraft.

under the mahazar is willing to ~~pay~~ redeem the mortgage.—

25

N.L.
117193

Asst Supdt.
Dy. Commissioner
Dharwar Dt.

returning the records received from him.

Redresser la cuisse

LR 140-91-3

No. 1815

End issued 19/7

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

7 June 1893

Endorsement granted to Lakkappa of Marathalli, Bangalore.

In reply to his Petition Registered No. 1815 dated 1 Nov. 1891 regarding lands No 7015 in the Annapura village taken up by Government.

Petitioner is ~~informed that~~ referred to the Deputy Commr Bangalore District, to whom orders have been issued to grant other lands to the Petitioner on a quarter of one half the assessment, under the makarandi rules

7/7/93

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore,—9-2-93—2000.

5932

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District.
D. No. 721
Dated 15th February/96.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Government Proceedings No. 894-5 dated 7th July 1893, P.F. 14-93 anent the lands belonging to the Darga of Alla Ullasha in the Annipur village, Bangalore Taluk, which were taken up for Govern-ment purposes from the possession of one Lakkappa the mortgagee, I have the honor to state that the Makandar pleads his in-ability to redeem the mortgage at present. The mortgagee prays that the marginally noted lands may be given him in exchange,

Survey No. 31-4-4 Assessment 0-11-0
" " 9-2 " 0-11-0
" " 23-2 " 0-3-4
" " part 23-2 " 0-3-4

but the owners are not willing
to part with them and they will
have to be acquired under the
Land Acquisition Act. A draft
declaration is submitted for
approval and publication in
the Gazette.

2. The value of landed
property about the City of Bangalore
has been increasing and the pur-
-chase of lands to be allotted to
the mortgagee will be costly. The
amount of mortgage to which he
is entitled is Rs 720-12-9. I would
therefore submit for the consider-
-ation of the Government the ad-
-visability of our purchasing the
right of mortgagee and settling
his claim by the payment of
the mortgage money plus interest
at 6 per cent per annum from
the date he was dispossessed
of the lands.

3. Under the Government

Proceedings No. 894-S dated 7th July 1893,
P.7.14-93
Quoted in para 1, the Mackandar is entitled to a moiety of the assessment on the lands taken up. This amounts to Rs 7-2-4, and may be paid as ready money Grant.

4. The Record of the case is enclosed for reference and Kind return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

K Chandy

For Dy Commissioner.

6/7/94

Under section 6 of the Land acquisition act, it is hereby declared that the undermentioned land is required for a public purpose ie for granting exchange lands for the Makandaur lands taken up for model farm in the Bangalore Town.

Taluk	Village	Name of the holder	Particulars of land: Description	Survey No.	Area required: A	G	Assessment		Boundaries
Bangalore.	Byrasandra.	Venkatappa son of Bettappa	Dry	Part of 31	3	33	4	12 a.	East - Road leading to Bannerghatta. West - Survey No. 26 and 36. North - Road leading to Madivala. South - Survey No. 23.
		Hosamane Venkatramanaya	Dry	-Do-	5	9	6	4 a.	
		Hosamane Thimmayya.	Dry	Part of 23	2	23	3	4 a.	East - Road leading to Bannerghatta. West - Survey No. 24. North - Survey No. 31. South - Survey No. 22.
					11	25	14	4 a.	

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 1st February 1896.

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Draft orders 64

8

LR 140-91-7

Read:—

Letter No 721 dated 1st February 1896 from the Dy Commr. Bangalore Distrct, submitting proposals for compensating one Lakkappa of Marhalli in the Bangalore Taluk on account of his Ingm'd mortgage lands belonging to the Durga of Atta Ukkalamma in the Annapur village, Bangalore Taluk, appropriated by Govt. for a public purpose.

Sur No 10 Pt. (old No. 15) Extent 9-7 Assessmt 11-0-0
2 Pt (old No. 7) 2-22 3-4-0.

8086 / R. 3133 8/6/3/96.

Sr V.P. 9

Order thereon:—

In Progs No 894-5 / R. 7. 14. 93 dated 17th July 1893, it was directed that in lieu of the lands above referred to, some other lands should be selected by the Revenue authorities & granted to Lakkappa subject to a quit rent of one half the assessment. The marginally described lands in the Byrasandra village, are reported to have been

Extent. Assessmt.
9-2 11-0-0
2-23 3-4-0

Selected by the ~~mortgagee~~ ~~Calcutta~~, but the owners are not willing to part with them. The Dy Commr reports that the mortgagor of the lands taken up by Govt is unable to redeem the mortgage, and therefore recommends ~~that as the value of lands in Bengal has risen high & the purchase of the new lands costly, the right of the mortgagee be purchased by Govt on~~ the payment to Calcutta of the mortgage money of Rs. 720-12-9 together with interest at 6 p.c per annum from the date on which he was dispossessed of the lands.

2. ~~For reasons explained above set forth~~. Under these circumstances the Dy Commr's recommendation is sanctioned with the remark ~~& Govt directs that~~

if any,
the question of ~~proposed~~ 46
of ready money granted
the mortgagor should
lie over for the present.

6/3/96

6-3-96

Secretary.

5/3/96

To

The Dy Commr.
Bangalore District.
returning the enclosures.

Gen. 31]

1897-98

From The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 8th
Despatched 9th } December/97
Received

General No.
No. 784

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro. No. 8086 (2) X
R 3133
of the 6th March 1896.

Requesting sanction to the payment of Rs 1121-4-9, to one Malenhalli Lakkappa, the mortgagee of the Inam lands belonging to the Dargah of Attaulla Shah, Anjpur village, Bangalore Taluk, in redemption of the mortgage of the said lands appropriated by Government for a public purpose.

11.12.97

18/12

No. 48.

v. No. 784

Bangalore District
Deputy Commr's Office
Bangalore 8th December 1897

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in Government Proceedings No. 8 8086 / R. 3133 of the 6th March 1896, sanction was accorded to the payment to one Malanhalli Lakkappa, the mortgagee of the Inam Lands belonging to the Dargah of Attaulla Sha in the Anjpura village of the Bangalore Taluk, of Rs 720-12-9 in redemption of the mortgage of the said lands appropriated by Government for

a

a public purpose, with interest at 6 p.c. per annum from the date on which he was dispossessed of the land. In consequence of a civil suit before the Bangalore District Munsiff, &c against the aforesaid Lakkappa, the amount referred to in the Govt. proceedings has not been paid to him as yet. The money amounting to Rs 1121-4-9, has now to be paid to him. The District Treasury officer objects to pay the money on account of lapse of sanction (x) as per article 293 of the Civil Account Code, chapter XVII. I therefore request you to be good enough to renew the sanction for payment of the money to the aforesaid Lakkappa as required in the said article.

Amt Rs.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K. Venkatarangiah
Deputy Commissioner.

Draft to apx

"

Read:-

5.10

PR 140-91-9

Letter No. 784 dated the 8
December 1897 from the D
Commr. Bangalore Dt. cont
-ing with ref: to Govt. Pro: No.
8086
R.3133 dated 6th March 1897
sanctioning payment to
one Mahadli Lakkappa
Mallanbalali
of Rs 720-12-9 in redemption
of the mortgage of certain
Inam lands belonging to
Durga of Atta-Ella Sha in
the Anjpur village, Bang
Taluk, appropriated by Govt
for a public purpose, with
interest at 6 p.c. per annum,
from the date on wh he was
dispossessed of the lands, that in
consequence of a civil suit
against him before the
Bangalore

5

More District Munsiff

's amount was not paid

and requesting that the

sanction already accorded

~~for payment having~~

~~lapsed, the same~~ may

be renewed for the pay-

-ment of Rs 1121-4-9 due

to the said individual.

Order thereon. No 6131-2 / R. 2004 dt 10/10/98.

The sanction accorded in the Proc: above referred to for the pay-

-ment of the amount

viz Rs 720-12-9 plus interest which comes up to Rs 400-8--

~~referred to in the above~~

is renewed.

Sd/-
22/12/98 for Secretary

To

The Dy: Comm^r Bangalore

" The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

2

Order on the claim of the Malikandars of Nabisha Malikan for land in exchange of lands taken up for the late Model Farm) the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore was requested to make enquiry as laid down in the Government Notification No 266 dated the 17th November 1877, alienation of the Inam lands of Nabisha Malikan not dealt with by the Inam Department. – (vide para 5 of the Proc. in the Ver. Records.

Cases of alienation of Inam lands given in exchange for No 24 Block.

2. In reply to order No 1051 of the 22nd February 1889, by which the above proceedings were forwarded to the Collector for action and guidance, the Collector submitted for the orders a statement

No 659 dated 7th December 1889

shewing

1

Docket from the Deputy
Commr of Bangalore
dated 5th March 1892
No 996, submitting the
Vernacular proceedings
connected with the petition
of Lalbegapah of Mavahal
Village, Bangalore Taluq
praying for the grant
of a separate land in
lieu of that taken up
for ~~widening~~ the Lalbagh
~~ave~~ or for the payment
to him of the amount
for which it had been
mortgaged to him by the
Inamdar of Yabisha
Mahkan.

The facts of the case
are as follows: ——

By Government Proc:
No. 6308-4/267 dated the 2
December 1885 (Praying
orders

showing the Inam
Lands of the Malikar, mortgaged
to one Gundachar
Sader Rangappah,
Lalkappa the peti-
tioner and others.
Regarding the lands
mortgaged to Lalkappa
the petitioner, the Inam
settlement (vide state-
ment above) was that
they should be resumed
and restored to the
Malikar. But in the
copy of the decree in O.S.
no 3 of 1856 in the file
of the late Bangalore
division Kadeem
Muthanda Adden
Moonsiffs Court, pro-
duced by Lalkappa
the Amicus further
submitted certain lands
of the Malikar were
mentioned

mentioned to have been mortgaged to Hanuman Mackattee, father of the petitioner, on 1852. In conformity with the late Chief Commissioner's notification No 266 of 1877, (adverted to in the Government Proceedings para 1 supra) the mortgage deed in favor of the Mahatteen Kandasell written 21st January 1853.

3. ~~In the meantime~~, the Assistant Magistrate of Bangalore by a notice dated 1st May 1889, informed the petitioner Lakkappa that, the Inam land in the village Yennipura, belonging to the Arabisha Mahatma having been resumed by Government, he should not enter upon it to prepare it

Continued by letter

it for cultivation, and that if he should contravene the orders given he would be dealt with according to Law.

4. In reply to his petition to the Emirdar for permission to live the land and for his confirmation in his name, the Emirdar replied to the petitioner by an endorsement dated 17th July 1889. No 55. that it had been determined to resume the land, and that on the occasion of selecting a site in lieu of that now resumed, his claims would be duly considered on advancing sufficient proof thereof.

5. Thereafter, Lalkaka addressed another petition to the Emirdar dated 5th

× Produced by Report.

of the 2nd August 1889, stating that the land in dispute was mortgaged to ~~him~~ his father some years ago, that it was in his possession and enjoyment for a period of 50 or 60 years, that he should get out the sum of Rs. 720/12/9, being the amount of mortgage, and that he would forego his claims to satisfaction.

6. In his petition to the Dy. Commr. dated 31st March 1890, Lalchaspa represented that the land in question which ~~had been~~ was taken up for the extension of the Land Bagh had been mortgaged to his father Hanumantha Mestri in 1838 that in his behalf had been the mortgage ~~was~~ upheld in the Late Kadiem Moolchand's

Mookherjee Banerjee
of 1856, for Rs. 1720/-, and
that either the land
might be reclaimed or the
mortgage money paid to
him.

No 14 dated 5th April 1890.

The above was sent to
the Amildar for a de-
tailed report in the
matter.

No 137 dated 8th May 1890.

9. In reporting the
circumstances of the
case, as detailed in
the above, the Amildar
referred to his previous
report No 659 (vide para
2 supra) and ~~observed~~ stated
that it was best proper
to grant land in ex-
change for that taken
up ~~for~~ ~~widening~~ Laul
~~Bagh~~, but that the
mortgagee (the petitioner)
should proceed against
the mortgagor (Anandrao)
for

for the recovery of the amount of mortgage and not press his claim on Sircar; either for land in exchange for that taken up or for his mortgage's amount.

8. In reply to his above petition to the Deputy Commissioner, Lalitah-pahr was ordered that, since the Inam settlement in respect of the land, ~~he alleged to have been duly mortgaged to him, and which was subsequently taken up for widening the Road Bazar~~, was to resume and restore it to the Inamdars, he should proceed against the Inamdars for the recovery of his mortgage amount, and

+ 2nd y dated 22nd September 1890. (produced by Petr)

and

and that the Inspector had been asked by a notice to select ~~[illegible]~~ a piece of the one taken up.

9. It is against the above circumstances, and the circumstances above stated, the petitioner Lalitkafipah has preferred ~~an~~ the appeal to Government.

Mr. Lalmaraich

8/4/92

The petitioner contends —

(1) That he was stopped summarily from entering upon the land in his possession as mortgagee by inheritance.

(2) That the mortgagor states that he is not responsible for the action taken by the Govt in taking away the land from the mortgagor mortgagee

(3). That the mortgage was upheld by the M.S. Munsiff's Court in 1856

(4) The decision come to by the Inam Settlement Officer is wrong & he was aware of the Civil decision referred to

(5) That inquiry made at the Inam Dept the petitioner understands that when he was a minor, a notice in the name of his deceased father Ramanandappa was issued & served upon one Balappa, that nobody was present at the Inam inquiry

(6). As Petitioner continued to enjoy the land as before he was not aware of the said order passed by the Inam Dept & therefore he did not move the Inam Dept in the matter

(7) That Govt. should have proceeded under the L. Acquisition

Act in acquiring the
land.

—

Note -

In the statement sub-
-mitted by the Auditor
the land is described
as follows —

Old Survey No:	Present Survey No:	Extent	Assmt:
7.	2.	14"11 - 18 cons.	

In reply to the Dy Comrs
enquiry the Auditor
reports in his report
No: 219 of 7.6.90 that
the No: of the land
is —

Survey No:	Bijawar	Assmt:
	K: K: P:	
10 pt:	0-12-0 -	14-0-0.
	Bijawar for the whole No —	of the whole No:

The decision given
by the S. M. Munsiff
Court by based upon
a Compromise effected
by the parties. The

12

lands stated I have been mortgaged are described as follows — I mean

1. Chilumeholea - in 3 bits by the side of the Kondi matt - Annipur village - Bijawani - ¼ Kh:

2. Siyanadahamde holea - in 5 bits - 50 - ¼ Kh. & 2 Kudus (or 7 Kudus)

It is not known

(1) when the mean settlement took place,

It took place in 1879.

(2) & when the Petitioner attained his majority

H

25/4/92

Mannar

Petition No: 18/5 of 1891

Petitioner.
Lakkappa of
Mavalli
Bangalore.

In March 1879 the Inam Department in disposing of the Inam lands alienated from the Dargah of Ataullasha ordered the marginally noted land to be resumed & restored to the Institution by the Revenue authorities under clause B para II of the Chief Comrs Notn dated 17th November 1877 No. 266. Hanumantha Mestri was Petitioners father.

The above land & some other lands belonging to the Institution were taken up for the Model Farm (Lazaretto Bay). In Govt Proc: 8th 22nd: Dec 1888, No: 6308-9

Village.	Name of Mortgagee	Survey No.
Annipur.	Hanumantha Mestri	7-15 part.

@ Clause B. (Vide P.83 of Rev: Mel).
In the case of alienations made subsequent to January 31, 1853, a notice will be issued to the alienee requiring him to restore the lands to the institutions to which they belong within 6 months, & on default the lands will be summarily resumed & restored to the institution by the Revenue authorities. &c.

the Claims of certain mortgagees of the land belonging to the Darga were disputed of. The Petitioner claims to be the mortgagee by inheritance of the land described above. In May 1889 the Builder of Bangalore issued a notice to the Petitioner not to enter upon the land in question. The Petitioner addressed the Dy Comr. on the subject who after some correspondence with the Builder informed the Petitioner to proceed against the Inamdar as the Inam settlement required that the land should be resumed & restored to the Dargah (not dated 22d. September 1890. No. 7).

The appeal is against the above order. The appellant contends

(1) that the Mortgagor states that he is not responsible for the action taken by Govt. in taking away the land from the Mortgagee.

(2) that the mortgage was upheld by the Mysore Court in 1856 for a sum of Rs 7:20/-

(3). That he was a minor at the time of the inquiry

(4) That Govt. should have proceeded under the Land Acquisition Act in taking away the land from him, which continued to be in his enjoyment till 1889-90

(5) & That the land was mortgaged by his father Ramamenta Mastri in ~~1830~~ 1833.

He prays that he may be allowed to select another land in its stead, or his mortgage money with the profits of the past two years be given to him.

The decision of the Munsiffs Court of 1856 is produced. It was based upon a compromise effected by the parties in February 1856. The lands mortgaged are described as 'Chilame hole & Sigarada-bende in the decision with a bija mara of ½ Khandi & 2 Kudus. The land now forms part

16

of Survey No: 10, the extent of the entire No: being 12 Kanies assessed at Rs: 14/-.

Rule A of the Notn of 17th November 1877 referred to above requires that where the alienations were made prior to 31st Jan'y 1853 but within 50 years computed up to 1867 the Lands if not restored voluntarily by the holder should be confirmed to him subject to a payt of one-half the assessment.

28/7/92

Adjourned to 15th June 1893.

15/6

Petition dept.

BB
6/2/96. No 1400-96-6

Rec'd 8/2
Examined with reference to orders.
8/2. I am not sure if this can be considered as 'public purpose' for land acquisition.
HGB
8/2/96

Sub'd 3rd [illegible]

Since the Maharaja is unwilling or unable to pay, the lands are liable to be resumed & brought under assessment (Govt order No 7704-12-234-87, published at p 89 of the Rev Manual) - The Maharaja is not even entitled to any Jodi or cash grant - The lands liable to be resumed as above, have been selected for a Public purpose -

(General Serial No. 30.)

5932

1895-96. Rev.

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Revenue Board of Mysore.

Dated 1st & 3 February/96
Despatched 5th
Received

Enclosures No. 1 Cycle

General No.
D No. 721.

In reply to Govt No. H: 894-5
dated 7th July 1893. P.J 14-93

Submits for orders the question of the acquisition of certain lands in Bhyrasandra Bangalore Taluk to be given in exchange to one Lakkappa in lieu of lands taken from his possession in their village for Government purposes.

References to the marked.

M. G. C. P.—31-1-91.—3000.

Under the orders of Govt

The mortgagee is entitled either to the exercise of the remedies for the repayment of his money - so far as Govt can remedied, the latter seems preferable to the former. The former recommendation is that the mortgage may & subsist, the latter, it seems necessary to cancel the mortgage & then proceed again to obtain a fresh security when the title of the L.A. Regulates

15/2/26

Yes. The second question which arises is the satisfaction of the mortgagee's rights. The Govt's proposal is to deposit the mortgage money. Regarding the mortgagee's rights we may leave it to him to negotiate the question of his dues.

Section 31 of the Land Acquisition Act provides that the mortgage money & interest shall be paid to the mortgagee. This settlement of the mortgage money seems to be the most satisfactory. It is open to the parties to arrange it in any other way if they so desire. The Deposit is held in some esteem & it would be desirable to continue the practice.

31/3/26

Draft Subs
28/3/26

LR 140-91-1

19

Appeal is within time.

Petition properly stamped

by the order appealed

against is instructed.

Issue of Plaint & the Court Fees,

Limited to the Amount.

A1

A1

Collector

A1

14/12/91

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 1/2 (2.) 191

No. 1816

Name of Petitioner. Lakkaka, [illegible]

Village. Navalli

Taluk. Bangalore

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 11/11/91

Date of Receipt. 12/11/91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Three.

ABSTRACT.

The inam land belongs to Nelisha Daya of Bom village near Devanahalli. Eight mortgaged by the ancestors of Daya & the petitioner about 60 or 70 years ago for Rs. 5 which was paid not in a lump but from time to time. After [illegible] etc enjoyed the land for 60 or 70 years.

2. In Nov. 89 Exr. received a from the Bangalore Municipal Commissioner [illegible] the said land, the same having taken up for [illegible] Exr. [illegible] Commissioner [illegible] Exr. [illegible] Exr. [illegible] Exr.

Two Rupees

Bank officer

(1)

Patel

10/11/21

1/11/21

3.

1.

-01

10-

12/11/21

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಅಭಿನಂದನೆಗಳು

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ

18-2-1988

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಖಜಾಂಚಿಯವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

31 - 3 - 1.

LR 1110-91-2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1875
dated [illegible] received at the
Dewan's Office from [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
is forwarded, together with its three
enclosures, to the [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
14/12/1891.

Noted 31

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE 8-2133 MAR. 92 9207

189

From The Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 5th March 1892.

Despatched

Received 7/3/92

Enclosures No. 1 file of papers

General No.

D No. 996.

Ref Official letter No 173 dated 20th December/91, forwarding for submission

Petn Dept to
Instns 8/3/92

L 1486-91-3

Pieces Submitted
8/4/92

Submitted
Minute
Still Recd